UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,936	09/28/2005	Frans Johan Sarneel	19790-003US1	4644
26191 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/22/201 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	C NAN 55440 1000	WATTS, JENNA A		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,936	SARNEEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Jenna A. Walls	'''	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u>			e appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);	
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reid	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	offesporiding flumber of finally reju	scied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliante / imonamont (1 102 021).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	ovasie ii casimilea iii a coparate,	annoly mod amortamor	it carrooming the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-13,16,17,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jenna A. Watts/	/C. SAYALA/		
Examiner, Art Unit 1781	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1781	
	,, ,	-	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment as filed raises 112 2nd issues with regards to amended Claims 10, 12 and 13 and includes new limitations in at least Claims 11 and 13 that have not been previously searched. Furthermore, the amendment to Claim 11 raises the possibility of new matter with regards to the completed mix comprising a layer on, under or around the claimed food products and in Claim 12 where the layer is one of the claimed components. Regarding amended Claim 10, it is unclear what is meant by the added phrase "the completed mix can be used as such" and regarding amended Claim 11, it is noted that this claim does not further limit Claim 10 where the completed mix is not a required component of the food composition. Furthermore, regarding amended Claims 11 and 12, the amendment to Claim 11 now renders Claim 12 unclear because it is unclear how the layer can be one of the claimed food components when the layer comprises the completed mix which contains the dry mix and a liquid. In addition, Amended Claim 13 is also unclear because the food composition is now claimed in the form of a spread and this may constitute new matter because previously it was the completed mix that was claimed in the form of a spread. It is also unclear whether the claimed meat, fish, poultry, seafood, rice, potato, dairy products, fruits and/or vegetables would be in a form suitable to be included in a spread. It is further noted that Applicant's amendment to Claim 10 reads on a batter comprising a dry mix and a liquid such as milk or water that can be applied to a food product such as meat or vegetables and then baked or fried and that such a concept is known in the prior art and is clearly taught in the prior art references of Fazzina in view of Suderman and Evans. It is also noted that the prior art on record provides motivation for the dry mix comprising the claimed components and would meet the limitation of a food composition comprising a dry mix and a completed mix which itself comprises a dry mix and a liquid such as milk or water for the reasons previously made of record.